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## Structure of the Course



## What's a graph?

○ $G=(V, E)$, where

- $V$ represents the set of vertices (nodes)
- E represents the set of edges (links)
- Both vertices and edges may contain additional information
- Different types of graphs:
- Directed vs. undirected edges
- Presence or absence of cycles
- Graphs are everywhere:
- Hyperlink structure of the web
- Physical structure of computers on the Internet
- Interstate highway system
- Social networks




## Some Graph Problems

- Finding shortest paths
- Routing Internet traffic and UPS trucks
- Finding minimum spanning trees
- Telco laying down fiber
- Finding Max Flow
- Airline scheduling
- Identify "special" nodes and communities
- Breaking up terrorist cells, spread of avian flu
- Bipartite matching
- Monster.com, Match.com
o And of course... PageRank


## What makes graphs hard?

- Irregular structure
- Irregular data access patterns
- Iterations


## Graphs and MapReduce (and Spark)

- A large class of graph algorithms involve:
- Performing computations at each node: based on node features, edge features, and local link structure
- Propagating computations: "traversing" the graph
- Key questions:
- How do you represent graph data in MapReduce (and Spark)?
- How do you traverse a graph in MapReduce (and Spark)?


## Representing Graphs

- $G=(V, E)$
- Three common representations
- Adjacency matrix
- Adjacency list
- Edge lists


## Adjacency Matrices

Represent a graph as an $n \times n$ square matrix $M$

- $n=|\mathrm{V}|$
- $M_{i j}=I$ means a link from node $i$ to $j$

|  | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | 0 | I | 0 | I |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | I | 0 | I | I |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | I | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | I | 0 | I | 0 |



## Adjacency Matrices: Critique

- Advantages:
- Amenable to mathematical manipulation
- Iteration over rows and columns corresponds to computations on outlinks and inlinks
- Disadvantages:
- Lots of zeros for sparse matrices
- Lots of wasted space


## Adjacency Lists

Take adjacency matrices... and throw away all the zeros

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

I: 2, 4
2: I, 3, 4
3: I
4: I, 3

Wait, where have we seen this before?

## Adjacency Lists: Critique

- Advantages:
- Much more compact representation
- Easy to compute over outlinks
- Disadvantages:
- Much more difficult to compute over inlinks


## Edge Lists

## Explicitly enumerate all edges

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

$(1,2)$
$(1,4)$
(2, I)
$(2,3)$
$(2,4)$
(3, I)
(4, I)
$(4,3)$

## Edge Lists: Critique

- Why?
- Edges arrive in no particular order
- Sometimes, we want to store inverted edges
- Advantages:
- Supports the ability to perform edge partitioning
- Disadvantages:
- Takes a lot of space
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## Co-occurrence of characters in Les Misérables



## What does the web look like?

Analysis of a large webgraph from the common crawl: 3.5 billion pages, 129 billion links
Meusel et al. Graph Structure in the Web - Revisited. WWW 2014.

## Broder's Bowtie (2000) - revisited



# What does the web look like? <br> Very roughly, a scale-free network 

Fraction of k nodes having k connections:

$$
P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}
$$

(i.e., distribution follows a power law)




Figure from: Newman, M. E. J. (2005) "Power laws, Pareto distributions and Zipf's law." Contemporary Physics 46:323-351.

# What does the web look like? <br> Very roughly, a scale-free network 

Other Examples:

Internet domain routers
Co-author network
Citation network
Movie-Actor network
(In this installment of "learn fancy terms for simple ideas")

# Preferential Attachment 

## Also: <br> Matthew Effect

For unto every one that hath shall be given, and he shall have abundance: but from him that hath not shall be taken even that which he hath.
—Matthew 25:29, King James Version.

(a) In degree (All)

(b) Out degree (All)

(c) Mutual degree (All)

(d) In degree (country)

(e) Out degree (country)
(f) Mutual degree (countrout FaceD

## BTW, how do we compute these graphs?

(Assume graph stored as adjacency lists)


## Count.



1

# BTW, how do we extract the webgraph? The webgraph... is big?! 

## A few tricks:

Integerize vertices (montone minimal perfect hashing)
Sort URLs
Integer compression
webgraph from the common crawl: 3.5 billion pages, 129 billion links
Meusel et al. Graph Structure in the Web — Revisited. WWW 2014.

## Graphs and MapReduce (and Spark)

- A large class of graph algorithms involve:
- Performing computations at each node: based on node features, edge features, and local link structure
- Propagating computations: "traversing" the graph
- Key questions:
- How do you represent graph data in MapReduce (and Spark)?
- How do you traverse a graph in MapReduce (and Spark)?


## Single-Source Shortest Path

o Problem: find shortest path from a source node to one or more target nodes

- Shortest might also mean lowest weight or cost
o First, a refresher: Dijkstra's Algorithm


## Dijkstra's Algorithm Example
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## Dijkstra's Algorithm Example



## Single-Source Shortest Path

o Problem: find shortest path from a source node to one or more target nodes

- Shortest might also mean lowest weight or cost
- Single processor machine: Dijkstra's Algorithm
- MapReduce: parallel breadth-first search (BFS)


## Finding the Shortest Path

- Consider simple case of equal edge weights
- Solution to the problem can be defined inductively
- Here's the intuition:
- Define: $b$ is reachable from $a$ if $b$ is on adjacency list of $a$ DISTANCETO(s) $=0$
- For all nodes $p$ reachable from $s$,

DISTANCETO $(p)=1$

- For all nodes $n$ reachable from some other set of nodes $M$,
$\operatorname{DISTANCETO}(n)=I+\min (\operatorname{DISTANCETO}(m), m \in M)$




## Visualizing Parallel BFS



## From Intuition to Algorithm

- Data representation:
- Key: node n
- Value: d (distance from start), adjacency list (nodes reachable from $n$ )
- Initialization: for all nodes except for start node, $d=\infty$
- Mapper:
- $\forall m \in$ adjacency list: emit ( $m, d+1$ )
- Remember to also emit distance to yourself
o Sort/Shuffle
- Groups distances by reachable nodes
o Reducer:
- Selects minimum distance path for each reachable node
- Additional bookkeeping needed to keep track of actual path


## Multiple Iterations Needed

- Each MapReduce iteration advances the "frontier" by one hop
- Subsequent iterations include more and more reachable nodes as frontier expands
- Multiple iterations are needed to explore entire graph
- Preserving graph structure:
- Problem: Where did the adjacency list go?
- Solution: mapper emits ( $n$, adjacency list) as well


## BFS Pseudo-Code

```
class Mapper
    method Map(nid n, node N)
    d}\leftarrowN.Distance
    Emit(nid n,N) \triangleright Pass along graph structure
    for all nodeid m\inN.AdJaCENCYLIsT do
            Emit(nid m,d+1) \triangleright Emit distances to reachable nodes
class Reducer
    method Reduce(nid m,[d
    dmin}<<
    M\leftarrow\emptyset
    for all d\in counts [d},\mp@subsup{d}{1}{},\mp@subsup{d}{2}{},\ldots]\mathrm{ do
            if IsNode(d) then
                M\leftarrowd \triangleright Recover graph structure
        else if d<d min then }\quad\triangleright\mathrm{ Look for shorter distance
            dmin}\leftarrow
    M.Distance }\leftarrow\mp@subsup{d}{min}{
     Update shortest distance
    Emit(nid m, node M)
```


## Stopping Criterion

- How many iterations are needed in parallel BFS (equal edge weight case)?
- Convince yourself: when a node is first "discovered", we've found the shortest path
o Now answer the question...
- Six degrees of separation?
- Practicalities of implementation in MapReduce


## Comparison to Dijkstra

- Dijkstra's algorithm is more efficient
- At each step, only pursues edges from minimum-cost path inside frontier
- MapReduce explores all paths in parallel
- Lots of "waste"
- Useful work is only done at the "frontier"
- Why can't we do better using MapReduce?


## Single Source: Weighted Edges

- Now add positive weights to the edges
- Why can't edge weights be negative?
- Simple change: add weight $w$ for each edge in adjacency list
- In mapper, emit $\left(m, d+w_{p}\right)$ instead of $(m, d+I)$ for each node $m$
o That's it?


## Stopping Criterion

- How many iterations are needed in parallel BFS (positive edge weight case)?
o Convince yourself: when a node is first "discovered", we've found the shortest path


## Additional Complexities



## Stopping Criterion

- How many iterations are needed in parallel BFS (positive edge weight case)?
- Practicalities of implementation in MapReduce



## Social Search

- When searching, how to rank friends named "John"?
- Assume undirected graphs
- Rank matches by distance to user
- Naïve implementations:
- Precompute all-pairs distances
- Compute distances at query time
- Can we do better?


## All-Pairs?

- Floyd-Warshall Algorithm: difficult to MapReduce-ify...
- Multiple-source shortest paths in MapReduce: run multiple parallel BFS simultaneously
- Assume source nodes $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots s_{n}\right\}$
- Instead of emitting a single distance, emit an array of distances, with respect to each source
- Reducer selects minimum for each element in array
- Does this scale?


## Landmark Approach (aka sketches)

- Select $n$ seeds $\left\{s_{0}, s_{\mid}, \ldots s_{n}\right\}$
- Compute distances from seeds to every node:

|  | $A=[2, I, I]$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Nodes | $B=[1, I, 2]$ |
| $C$ | $=[4,3, I]$ |
|  | $D=[1,2,4]$ | Distances to seeds

- What can we conclude about distances?
- Insight: landmarks bound the maximum path length
- Lots of details:
- How to more tightly bound distances
- How to select landmarks (random isn't the best...)
o Use multi-source parallel BFS implementation in MapReduce!


